

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/708,083	11/08/2000	Toshiki Kindo	43890-463	9891	
20277 7	7590 02/02/2004		EXAMINER		
MCDERMOTT WILL & EMERY 600 13TH STREET, N.W.			ROBINSON, GRETA LEE		
WASHINGTON, DC 20005-3096			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		2177	10	
			DATE MAILED: 02/02/2004	·	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
j	Advisory Action	09/708,083	KINDO ET AL.	,
	•	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Greta L. Robinson	2177	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	lress
There inal i condi	REPLY FILED 22 January 2004 FAILS TO PLACE efore, further action by the applicant is required to a rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 ition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeanination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment whicl	ation. A proper repl h places the applica	y to a ation in
	PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
	The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date			
b)	no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	g date of the final rejecti HE FINAL REJECTION.	on. See MPEP
ee ha ee un 2) as	xtensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The ve been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of der 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offi filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mai	ount of the fee. The apportion or the final	ropriate extension Office action; or
1.	A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFI			
2.🛛	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(;	a) $oxtimes$ they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(1	o) \square they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);		
(0	 they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or 	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or si	mplifying the
(6	d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claim	ıs.
	NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3.	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejec	tion(s):		
4.	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment
5.⊠	The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because: <u>Se</u>		dered but does NO	T place the
6.□	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which wer	e newly
7.🛛	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
	Claim(s) allowed: none.			
	Claim(s) objected to: none.			
	Claim(s) rejected: 1-14.			
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8.	The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b) disapproved by t	he Examiner.	
9.	Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	-	•
10.	Other:		GBETA ROBINS	ON
			PRIMARY EXAMI Greta Robinson	INEH
			Primary Examiner January 30, 2004	
			Janualy JV. &VV4	

7

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applicant's amendment "degree of importance of the image" would require further search and consideration.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The amendment changes the scope of the claims requiring further consideration and search..